

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,722	05/05/1999	ZHENAN BAO	BAO-9-1-13 2552	
28221 75	590 12/13/2002			
GLEN E. BOOKS, ESQ. LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC 65 LIVINGSTON AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
ROSELAND, NJ 07068				
•	, A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	1.
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	/{

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/305,722	BAO ET AL.			
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anita K Alanko	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is		
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper reply nich places the applicat	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	ne fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed a	mendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request to application in condition for allowance because: §		nsidered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment o			d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a) □ approved or b) □ disar	proved by the Examin	er.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
		Anuta K. Slend Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1765	La-		

•

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: page 6, lines 3-7 of the specification recites to use inks to print SAMS onto a substrate, it does not recite to form a SAM on the stamp, as is claimed. The claim cites "a self-assembled monolayer ink" not a SAM-forming ink. The stamp is soaked in a solution containing a SAM-forming molecular species. The SAM is formed on the substrate, not on the stamp..